

[2007/11/11]

SET THEORY AND C* ALGEBRAS

EDITED BY

1. ULTRAPOWERS

Problem .1. *Does every separable C*-algebra embed into an ultrapower of O_2 with respect to an ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} ?*

Remark. [Kirchberg] Every exact C*-algebra embeds into O_2 .

If $\phi_j: A \rightarrow \prod_{\mathcal{U}} O_2$ are *-homomorphisms, write $\phi_1 \leq \phi_2$ if there is a partial isometry u in A such that $u^* \phi_2 u = \phi_1$.

Problem .2. *Assume A is separable and A embeds into $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} O_2$. Is there a \leq -maximal embedding ϕ of A into $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} O_2$?*

Problem .3. *Can one prove in ZFC that for some free ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on \mathbb{N} we have $\mathcal{B}(H)' \cap \prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{B}(H) = \mathbb{C}I$?*

An ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on \mathbb{N} is *flat* if there are $h_n: \mathbb{N} \searrow [0, 1]$ such that

- (1) $h_n(0) = 1$,
- (2) $\lim_j h_n(j) = 0$,
- (3) $(\forall f: \mathbb{N} \nearrow \mathbb{N}) \lim_{n \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |h_n(j) - h_n(f(j))| = 0$.

Problem .4. *Is a nonprincipal ultrafilter such that $\mathcal{B}(H)' \cap \mathcal{B}(H)^{\mathcal{U}} \neq \mathbb{C}I$ flat?*

2. CALKIN ALGEBRA

Problem .1. *Is there a model of set theory in which the Calkin algebra has an automorphism sending the unilateral shift S to S^* ? Is the analogous fact for ℓ^∞ / c_0 true?*

Problem .2. *Is there a model of set theory in which the Calkin algebra has a not approximately inner automorphism preserving K -theory?*

Problem .3. *Is it consistent that, for a nonseparable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})/\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ has an outer automorphism?*

Problem .4. *Does every masa in the Calkin algebra that is generated by its projections lift to a masa in $\mathcal{B}(H)$?*

3. TENSOR PRODUCTS

Problem .1. *Does the number of C^* -norms on $A \otimes_{\text{alg}} B$ for $A = B = \mathcal{B}(H)$ $A = B = C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$, where \mathbb{F}_∞ is the free group on countably many generators $A = B(H)$ and $B = Q(H)$ where $Q(H)$ is the Calkin algebra depend on the model of set theory?*

Problem .2. *What is the ideal structure of $\mathcal{B}(H) \otimes_{\min} Q(H)$? Does it depend on the model of set theory?*

Problem .3. *Does \otimes_{\min} or \otimes_{\max} commute with forcing?*

4. NUCLEARITY

Problem .1. *Is every stably finite nuclear separable C^* -algebra locally approximable by type I C^* -algebras?*

All presently known nuclear C^* -algebras are obtained from \mathbb{C} by taking closure under simple operations such as tensoring with finite-dimensional matrix algebras and taking inductive limits. They belong to the so-called bootstrap class.

Problem .2. *Does every nuclear C^* -algebra belong to the bootstrap class?*

Problem .3. *Do all nuclear C^* -algebras satisfy the UCT (Universal Coefficient Theorem)?*

5. PURE STATES

Problem .1. *Does every pure state of the atomic masa in $\mathcal{B}(H)$ extend uniquely to a pure state of $\mathcal{B}(H)$?*

Problem .2. *Consider the following statement: for every pure state ϕ of $\mathcal{B}(H)$ there is a masa \mathcal{A} such that $\phi \upharpoonright \mathcal{A}$ is multiplicative (i.e., pure). Is it consistent with ZFC?*

Problem .3. *Consider the following statement: every pure state on $\mathcal{B}(H)$ is diagonalizable. Is it consistent with ZFC?*

6. NONSEPARABLE C^* -ALGEBRAS

Problem .1. *Is there a nonseparable AF C^* -algebra or W^* -algebra not isomorphic to its opposite algebra?*

Problem .2. *Is the following relatively consistent with ZFC?*

Every C-algebra A that has a unique irreducible representation up to the unitary equivalence is isomorphic to the algebra of compact operators on some Hilbert space.*

Problem .3. *Assume A is a tensor product of algebras of the form $M_n(\mathbb{C})$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\kappa < \kappa'$ are cardinals and $\bigotimes_{\kappa'} M_2(\mathbb{C})$ unittally embeds into $A \otimes \bigotimes_{\kappa} M_2(\mathbb{C})$. Can we conclude that there is a unital embedding of $\bigotimes_{\kappa} M_2(\mathbb{C})$ into A ?*

Problem .4. *Is every exact C*-algebra a subalgebra of a nuclear C*-algebra?*

Problem .5. *Is there a universal nuclear C*-algebra of character density \aleph_1 ? More generally, for which cardinals κ is there a universal nuclear C*-algebra of character density κ ? Similar question can be asked for exact algebras.*

Problem .6. *Suppose a C*-algebra has an approximate identity consisting of projections. Does it have an increasing approximate identity (on some index set, possibly different) consisting of projections?*

7. BOREL COMPLEXITY

Problem .05. *Is conjugacy by automorphism of masas of the hyperfinite II_1 factor classifiable by countable structures?*

Problem .1. *What is the right set-theoretic framework to deal with functorial classification?*

Problem .15. *Is there a constructive Borel proof of the O_2 embedding theorem?*

Problem .2. *Is the isomorphism relation in the following classes of separable C*-algebras:*

all

nuclear

exact

simple

simple exact

nuclear \mathcal{Z} -stable

simple nuclear

complete analytic? below a group actions? above all group actions?

Problem .25. *What is the complexity of isometric isomorphism of direct limits of not necessarily self-adjoint subalgebras of finite dimensional C*-algebras? How does it compare to the complexity of isomorphism of AF algebras?*

Problem .3. *Is there a Borel inverse of the classification functor?*

Problem .35. *For a separable C^* -algebra A , what is the complexity of orbit equivalence relations associated to the action $\text{Aut}(A)$ on itself by conjugacy and to the action of $\text{Inn}(A)$ on $\text{Aut}(A)$ by left translation? Are these action turbulent? How are they related to structural properties of A ?*

Problem .4. *Is the Mackey Borel structure on the spectrum of a simple separable C^* -algebra always the same when it is not standard?*

Remark. [Elliott] All nonstandard spectra of AF algebras are isomorphic.

Problem .45. *Does the complexity of the Mackey Borel structure of a simple separable C^* -algebra increase as one goes from nuclear C^* -algebras to exact ones to ones that are not even exact?*

If A is a C^* -algebra, denote by E_A the relation of unitary equivalence of pure states of A .

Problem .5. *Assume A and B are C^* -algebras and E_A is Borel-reducible to E_B . What does this fact imply about the relation between A and B ?*

8. GENERATORS

A C^* -algebra is called singly generated if it contains an element that is not contained in any proper sub- C^* -algebra. A C^* -algebra is called \mathcal{Z} -stable if it absorbs the Jiang-Su algebra tensorially.

Problem .1. *Which separable, unital, simple C^* -algebras are singly generated? Is there a simple, nuclear C^* -algebra that is singly generated, yet is not \mathcal{Z} -stable? Is single generation connected to the regularity properties coming up in the classification of nuclear, simple C^* -algebras?*

REFERENCES